arXiv:1401.5568v1 [math.GR] 22 Jan 2014

ON THE GROUP OF ALTERNATING COLORED PERMUTATIONS

ELI BAGNO, DAVID GARBER AND TOUFIK MANSOUR

Abstract. The group of alternating colored permutations is the natural analogue of the classical alternating group, inside the wreath product $\mathbb{Z}_r \wr S_n$. We present a 'Coxeter-like' presentation for this group and compute the length function with respect to that presentation. Then, we present this group as a covering of $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{r}{2}} \wr S_n$ and use this point of view to give another expression for the length function. We also use this covering to lift several known parameters of $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{r}{2}} \wr S_n$ to the group of alternating colored permutations.

1. Introduction

The group of colored permutations, $G_{r,n}$, is a natural generalization of the Coxeter groups of types A (the symmetric group) and B (the hyperoctahedral group). Extensive research has been devoted to extending the enumerative combinatorics aspects and methods from the symmetric group to the group of colored permutations (see for example [2, 3, 7, 13, 15, 16], and many more).

It is well-known that the symmetric group S_n has a system of Coxeter generators which consists of the adjacent transpositions:

$$\{(i, i+1) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

The alternating subgroup, A_n, which is the kernel of the sign homomorphism, is a well-known subgroup of the symmetric group of index 2. A pioneering work, expanding one of the fascinating branches of enumerative combinatorics, namely, the study of permutation statistics to A_n, has been done by Roichman and Regev in [14]. They defined some natural statistics which equidistribute over A_n and yielded identities for their generating functions.

Brenti, Reiner, and Roichman [6] dealt with the alternating subgroup of an arbitrary Coxeter group. They started by exploring Bourbaki's presentation [4, Chap. IV, Sec. 1, Exer. 9] and elaborated on a huge spectrum of extensions of the permutation statistics of S_n to the (general) alternating group.

Date: January 23, 2014.

In this paper, we study the subgroup of $G_{r,n}$, consisting of what we call *alternating colored permutations*, which is the analogue of the usual alternating group A_n in the colored permutation group. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and even r, the mapping which sends all 'Coxeter-like' generators of $G_{r,n}$ (see the definition in Section 2) to -1 is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-character, whose kernel is what we call here *the group of alternating colored permutations*, denoted by $A_{r,n}$. We present here a generalization of Bourbaki's presentation, for $r = 4k + 2$, equipped with a set of canonical words, an algorithm to find a canonical presentation for each element of the group, and a combinatorial length function.

For the study of permutation statistics of A_n, Regev and Roichman [14] used a covering map from A_{n+1} to S_n, which enabled them to pass parameters from S_n to the alternating group A_{n+1}. In this paper, we use a similar idea, where in this time we consider the group of alternating colored permutations as a 2^{n-1}-cover of the group of colored permutations of half the number of colors. We use this technique to shed a combinatorial flavor on our length function and to pass some statistics and their generating function to the group of alternating colored permutations.

Note that there are two additional candidates for the group of alternating colored permutations. Namely, every $\mathbb{Z}_2$-character of $G_{r,n}$ provides a kernel which deserves to be called a group of alternating colored permutations. A work in this direction which gives a profound treatment to the other two non-trivial kernels, and points out the connections between the three groups, and some interesting properties of each group separately is in progress.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we gather the needed definitions on the colored permutation group, as well as some notations which we use in the sequel. A Coxeter-like presentation for the group of colored permutations, $G_{r,n}$, is presented at the end of this section. The notion of alternating colored permutations is introduced in Section 3. We present its set of generators, and show their corresponding relations. In Section 4, we present an algorithm for writing each element as a product of the generators. A detailed analysis of that algorithm yields a set of canonical words, as well as a length function. Section 5 is devoted to some technical proofs, as well as to the generating function of the length function.

In Section 6, we present the covering map and study the structure of the cosets, thereby providing a way to decompose the length function via the quotient group. The part of the length which varies over each coset (fiber) is called *the fibral length* and is studied here in a

combinatorial way. Then we provide a generating function for this parameter. In Section 7, we give some examples for using the covering map for lifting parameters from the colored permutations group of half the number of colors to the group of alternating colored permutations.

2. Preliminaries and notations

In this section, we gathered some notations as well as preliminary notions which will be needed for the rest of the paper.

2.1. **The group of colored permutations.**

Definition 2.1. Let r and n be positive integers. *The group of colored permutations of n digits with r colors* is the wreath product

$$G_{r,n} = \mathbb{Z}_r \wr S_n = \mathbb{Z}_r^n \rtimes S_n,$$

consisting of all pairs $(\vec{z}, \tau)$, where $\vec{z}$ is an n-tuple of integers between 0 and $r-1$ and $\tau \in S_n$. The multiplication is defined by the following rule: for $\vec{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ and $\vec{z'} = (z'_1, \ldots, z'_n)$,

$$(\vec{z}, \tau) \cdot (\vec{z'}, \tau') = ((z_1 + z'_{\tau^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, z_n + z'_{\tau^{-1}(n)}), \tau \circ \tau') \tag{1}$$

(here $+$ is taken modulo r).

Here is another way to present $G_{r,n}$: Consider the alphabet

$$\Sigma = \{1, \ldots, n, \bar{1}, \ldots, \bar{n}, \ldots, 1^{[r-1]}, \ldots, n^{[r-1]}\}$$

as the set $[n]$ colored by the colors $0, \ldots, r-1$. Then, an element of $G_{r,n}$ is a *colored permutation*, i.e., a bijection $\pi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ satisfying the following condition: if $\pi\left(i^{[\alpha]}\right) = j^{[\beta]}$, then $\pi\left(i^{[\alpha+1]}\right) = j^{[\beta+1]}$ (the addition in the exponents is taken modulo r). Using this approach, the element $\pi = ((z_1, \ldots, z_n), \tau) \in G_{r,n}$ is the permutation on Σ, satisfying $\pi(i) = \pi(i^{[0]}) = \tau(i)^{[z_{\tau(i)}]}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. For example, the element $\pi = \left((2,1,0,3), \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}\right) \in G_{3,4}$ satisfies: $\pi(1) = 2^{[1]}, \pi(2) = 1^{[2]}, \pi(3) = 4^{[3]}, \pi(4) = 3^{[0]}$.

For an element $\pi = (\vec{z}, \tau) \in G_{r,n}$ with $\vec{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$, we write $z_i(\pi) = z_i$, and denote $|\pi| = (\vec{0}, \tau)$. We define also $c_i(\pi) = r - z_i(\pi^{-1})$ and $\vec{c}(\pi) = \vec{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$. Using this notation, the element $\pi = (\vec{z}, \tau) = \left((2,1,0,3), \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ satisfies $\vec{c} = (1,2,3,0)$).

We usually write π in its *window notation* (or *one line notation*): $\pi = \left(a_1^{[c_1]} \cdots a_n^{[c_n]}\right)$, where $a_i = \tau(i)$, so in our example we have: $\pi = (2^{[1]}1^{[2]}4^{[3]}3^{[0]})$ or just $\left(\bar{2}\bar{\bar{1}}\bar{\bar{4}}3\right)$.

Note that z_i is the color of the digit i (i is taken from the window notation), while c_j is the color of the digit $\tau(j)$. Here, j stands for the place, whence i stands for the value.

The group $G_{r,n}$ is generated by the set of generators $\mathcal{S} = \{s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}\}$, defined by their action on the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ as follows:

$$s_i(j) = \begin{cases} i+1 & j = i \\ i & j = i+1 \\ j & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

whereas the generator s_0 is defined by

$$s_0(j) = \begin{cases} \bar{1} & j = 1 \\ j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that the group $G_{r,n}$ has the following 'Coxeter-like' presentation with respect to the set of generators $\mathcal{S}$:

Presentation 2.2.

- $s_0^r = 1$,
- $s_i^2 = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,
- $s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i < n$,
- $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$ for $1 \leq i < j < n,\ j - i > 1$,
- $(s_0 s_1)^{2r} = 1$.

2.2. **Some permutation statistics.** For $\pi \in G_{r,n}$, define the *length* of π with respect to the set of generators $\mathcal{S}$ to be the minimal number of generators whose product is π. Formally:

$$\ell(\pi) = \min\{r \in \mathbb{N} : \pi = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}, \text{for } i_1, \ldots, i_r \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}\ \}.$$

Definition 2.3. The *length order* on the alphabet

$$\Sigma = \{1, \ldots, n, \bar{1}, \ldots, \bar{n}, \ldots, 1^{[r-1]}, \ldots, n^{[r-1]}\}$$

is defined as follows:

$$n^{[r-1]} < \cdots < \bar{n} < \cdots < 1^{[r-1]} < \cdots < \bar{1} < 1 < \cdots < n \tag{2}$$

Let $\sigma \in G_{r,n}$. We define:

$$\operatorname{csum}(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i(\sigma).$$

For $\pi \in G_{r,n}$, the *inversion number*, $\operatorname{inv}(\pi)$, is defined as follows:

$$\operatorname{inv}(\pi) = |\{(i,j) \mid i < j, \pi(i) > \pi(j)\}|.$$

where the partial order is the length order defined above.

For any $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $R_n(a)$ be the representative of $[a] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ satisfying $0 \leq a < n$.

In the sequel, we will use the following operator:

Definition 2.4. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$a \oslash 2 = \begin{cases} R_{\frac{r}{2}}(\frac{a}{2}) & a \equiv 0 (\text{mod } 2) \\ R_{\frac{r}{2}}(\frac{a+\frac{r}{2}}{2}) & a \not\equiv 0 (\text{mod } 2) \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

It is easy to see that the operator $\oslash$ commutes with the addition operation in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{r}{2}}$, i.e.

$$((a+b) \oslash 2) \equiv ((a \oslash 2) + (b \oslash 2)) \left(\text{mod } \frac{r}{2}\right) \tag{4}$$

3. The group of alternating colored permutations

The main target of this paper is the group of alternating colored permutations. We proceed now to its definition. Let φ be the function defined on the set $\mathcal{S}$ by $\varphi(s_i) = -1$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. It is easy to see that for even r, φ can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism from $G_{r,n}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_2$, so the following is well-defined:

Definition 3.1. Let r be an even positive number. Define:

$$A_{r,n} = \ker(\varphi).$$

The group $A_{r,n}$ is called the *alternating subgroup of* $G_{r,n}$.

Since $A_{r,n}$ is a subgroup of index 2, we have: $|A_{r,n}| = \frac{r^n n!}{2}$.

In this paper, we concentrate on the case $r = 4k+2$. The other case will be treated in a subsequent paper.

We start by presenting a set of generators for $A_{r,n}$ (we prove that they indeed generate the group in the next section). Define:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a_0, a_1, a_1^{-1}, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}\},$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= s_0^{\frac{r}{2}} s_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ a_0 &= s_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the following translation relations hold in $G_{r,n}$:

(1) $s_i s_j = a_i a_j$ for $i, j \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$,
(2) $s_1 s_i = a_1^{-1} a_i$ for $i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$,
(3) $s_i s_1 = a_i a_1$ for $i \geq 2$,
(4) $s_0 s_1 = a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}} a_1$,
(5) $s_1 s_0 = a_1^{-1} a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}}$,

(6) $a_0^{\frac{r}{2}} = 1$,
(7) $s_0 s_i = a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}} a_i$.

4. The Combinatorial algorithm

In this section, we introduce an algorithm which presents each element of $A_{r,n}$ as a product of the set of generators $\mathcal{A}$ of $A_{r,n}$ in a canonical way.

Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. We first refer to π as an element of $G_{r,n}$ and apply the known algorithm on π to write it as a product of elements in $\mathcal{S}$. In the second step, we translate that presentation into the set of generators $\mathcal{A}$ of $A_{r,n}$.

The algorithm for writing π as a product of elements in $\mathcal{S}$ consists of two parts: the *coloring part* and the *ordering part*.

In the coloring part, we start from the identity element and color all the digits i having $z_i \neq 0$. This part terminates with an ordered permutation σ with respect to the length order. In the second part, we use only generators of the set $\mathcal{S} - \{s_0\}$ to arrive at π from the ordered permutation σ.

4.1. **The coloring part.** Define:

$$\mathrm{Col}(\pi) = \{1 \leq i \leq n \mid z_i(\pi) \neq 0\},$$

and

$$\mathrm{col}(\pi) = |\mathrm{Col}(\pi)|.$$

Note that the set $\mathrm{Col}(\pi)$ contains the colored digits in the image of π, (i.e. those appearing in the window notation), and not their places. We order $\mathrm{Col}(\pi)$ as follows: $\mathrm{Col}(\pi) = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{\mathrm{col}(\pi)}\}$.

We start with the identity element and color each digit $i \in \mathrm{Col}(\pi)$ by z_i colors. This process is done according to the order of the elements in $\mathrm{Col}(\pi)$. We use $s_{i_k-1} s_{i_k-2} \cdots s_1 s_0^z$ to color the digit i_k by z colors.

Example 4.1. Let $\pi = \left(12^{[2]}45^{[1]}3^{[3]}\right) \in G_{6,5}$.

$$(12345) \overset{s_1 s_0^2}{\to} \left(2^{[2]}1345\right) \overset{s_2 s_1 s_0^3}{\to} \left(3^{[3]}2^{[2]}145\right) \overset{s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_0}{\to} \left(5^{[1]}3^{[3]}2^{[2]}14\right) = \sigma.$$

The permutation σ is an ordered permutation with respect to the length order.

4.2. **The ordering part.**

For simplifying the presentation, in this part we start with π and arrive at the ordered permutation σ, instead of continuing the algorithm from the point we have left it at the end of the coloring part.

We start by pushing the element $i_1 = |\sigma|(1)$ in the window notation of π to its correct place. Let $p = |\pi|^{-1}(i_1)$. The pushing is done by multiplying π (from the right) by the element $u_1 = s_{p-1}s_{p-2}\cdots s_1$.

Now, we continue to push the other digits of π: For each $1 < k \leq n-2$, assuming that $i_k = |\sigma|(k)$ is now located at position p, we use the element $s_{p-1}s_{p-2}\cdots s_k$ in order to push the digit i_k to its correct place.

Example 4.2. We continue the previous example. Again, let $\pi = \left(12^{[2]}45^{[1]}3^{[3]}\right)$. The coloring part ends with the following ordered permutation:

$$\sigma = \left(5^{[1]}3^{[3]}2^{[2]}14\right).$$

Now, we go the other way around: we start with π and order it until we reach σ:

$$\pi = \left(12^{[2]}45^{[1]}3^{[3]}\right) \overset{s_3s_2s_1}{\to} \left(5^{[1]}12^{[2]}43^{[3]}\right) \overset{s_4s_3s_2}{\to}$$

$$\to \left(5^{[1]}3^{[3]}12^{[2]}4\right) \overset{s_3}{\to} \left(5^{[1]}3^{[3]}2^{[2]}14\right) = \sigma.$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\pi = \underbrace{s_1s_0^2 \cdot s_2s_1s_0^3 \cdot s_4s_3s_2s_1s_0}_{\text{coloring part}} \cdot \underbrace{s_3 \cdot s_2s_3s_4 \cdot s_1s_2s_3}_{\text{ordering part}}.$$

The algorithm described above gives a *reduced* word representing π in the generators of $G_{r,n}$. This fact was proved in [2, Theorem 4.3]. The same algorithm can also be found in [16]; see also [15]. The word which was obtained in this way is called the *canonical decomposition* of π.

4.3. **Translation.** Now, we translate the word obtained by the algorithm described above into a word in the generators in $\mathcal{A}$: Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. Use the above algorithm to write a reduced expression of π (in the usual generators of $G_{r,n}$) in the form: $s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_{2k}}$. Divide the elements of the reduced expression into pairs: $(s_{i_1}s_{i_2})\cdots(s_{i_{2k-1}}s_{i_{2k}})$. Now, insert $s_0^{\frac{r}{2}}s_0^{\frac{r}{2}}$ inside each pair, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(s_{i_1}s_0^{\frac{r}{2}}s_0^{\frac{r}{2}}s_{i_2}\right)\cdots\left(s_{i_{2k-1}}s_0^{\frac{r}{2}}s_0^{\frac{r}{2}}s_{i_{2k}}\right) &= \left(s_{i_1}s_0^{\frac{r}{2}}\right)\left(s_0^{\frac{r}{2}}s_{i_2}\right)\cdots\left(s_{i_{2k-1}}s_0^{\frac{r}{2}}\right)\left(s_0^{\frac{r}{2}}s_{i_{2k}}\right) = \\ &= a_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_{i_1}}\cdots a_{i_{2k}}^{\varepsilon_{i_{2k}}},\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_{i_j} = 1$ if $i_j > 1$, $\varepsilon_{i_j} \in \{\pm 1\}$ if $i_j = 1$, and $\varepsilon_{i_j} \in \left\{1, \ldots, \frac{r}{2}-1\right\}$ if $i_j = 0$.

Example 4.3. We continue with $\pi = \left(12^{[2]}45^{[1]}3^{[3]}\right) \in A_{6,5}$ from the previous examples. As we saw, $\pi = s_1s_0^2s_2s_1s_0^3s_4s_3s_2s_1s_0s_3s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3$. Now, we perform the translation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi &= (s_1s_0)(s_0s_2)(s_1s_0)(s_0s_0)(s_4s_3)(s_2s_1)(s_0s_3)(s_2s_3)(s_4s_1)(s_2s_3) = \\
&= (s_1s_0^3)(s_0^3s_0)(s_0s_0^3)(s_0^3s_2)(s_1s_0^3)(s_0^3s_0)(s_0s_0^3)(s_0^3s_0)(s_4s_0^3)(s_0^3s_3)\cdot \\
&\quad \cdot(s_2s_0^3)(s_0^3s_1)(s_0s_0^3)(s_0^3s_3)(s_2s_0^3)(s_0^3s_3)(s_4s_0^3)(s_0^3s_1)(s_2s_0^3)(s_0^3s_3) = \\
&= a_1^{-1}\mathbf{a_0^2a_0^2}a_2a_1^{-1}\mathbf{a_0^2a_0^2a_0^2}a_4a_3a_2a_1a_0^2a_3a_2a_3a_4a_1a_2a_3 = \\
&= a_1^{-1}a_0a_2a_1^{-1}a_4a_3a_2a_1a_0^2a_3a_2a_3a_4a_1a_2a_3.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last equality, we cancelled some appearances of the bold-faced generator a_0, since in $A_{6,5}$, $a_0^3 = 1$.

4.4. **Analysis of the algorithm.** For analyzing the algorithm described above, we define the following sets of elements of $G_{r,n}$ and $A_{r,n}$.

4.4.1. *The coloring part.* Let

$$C_1 = \left\{1, s_0^2, s_0^4 \ldots, s_0^{r-2}\right\} = \left\{1, a_0, \ldots, a_0^{\frac{r-2}{2}}\right\}.$$

For each $1 < i \leq n$, define for odd $i-1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_i^0 &= \left\{s_0s_{i-1}\cdots s_1, s_0s_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_0^2, s_0s_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_0^4, \ldots, s_0s_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_0^{r-2}\right\} \\
C_i^1 &= \left\{1, s_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_0, s_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_0^3, \ldots, s_is_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_0^{r-1}\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

or, in the language of the set $\mathcal{A}$ of generators of $A_{r,n}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_i^0 &= \left\{ a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}}a_{i-1}\cdots a_1, a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}}a_{i-1}\cdots a_1a_0, a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}}a_{i-1}\cdots a_1a_0^2, \ldots, a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}}a_{i-1}\cdots a_1a_0^{\frac{r}{2}-1} \right\} \\
C_i^1 &= \left\{1, a_{i-1}\cdots a_1^{-1}, a_{i-1}\cdots a_2a_1^{-1}a_0, \ldots, a_{i-1}\cdots a_1^{-1}a_0^{\frac{r}{2}-1}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For even $i-1$, we define:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_i^0 &= \left\{s_0s_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_0, s_0s_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_0^3, \ldots, s_0s_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_0^{r-1}\right\} \\
C_i^1 &= \left\{1, s_{i-1}\cdots s_1, s_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_0^2, s_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_0^4, \ldots, s_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_0^{r-2}\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

or, in the language of the set $\mathcal{A}$ of generators of $A_{r,n}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_i^0 &= \left\{ a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}}a_{i-1}\cdots a_1^{-1}, a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}}a_{i-1}\cdots a_1^{-1}a_0, a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}}a_{i-1}\cdots a_1^{-1}a_0^2, \ldots, a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}}a_{i-1}\cdots a_1^{-1}a_0^{\frac{r}{2}-1} \right\} \\
C_i^1 &= \left\{1, a_{i-1}\cdots a_1, a_{i-1}\cdots a_1a_0, a_{i-1}\cdots a_1a_0^2, \ldots, a_{i-1}\cdots a_1a_0^{\frac{r}{2}-1}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Define also:

$$C_i = C_i^0 \cup C_i^1 \text{ and } C_{n+1} = \left\{1, a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}}\right\}.$$

Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. Write π as a product of the generators of $G_{r,n}$ in the canonical form described above.

If there is no coloring part, then $\pi \in A_n$ (the classical alternating group in S_n), so its expression contains an even number of generators from the set $\{s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}\}$. We can easily make the pairing by the relations mentioned above. The length of such an expression is clearly $\mathrm{inv}(\pi)$ (note that in this case, it does not matter whether we use the length order or the usual order).

Otherwise, we start with the coloring part. Denote by $i = i_1$ the smallest colored digit in the window notation of π, and by $z = z_{i_1}$ its color. We divide our treatment into four cases:

(1) $i-1$ **and** z **are both even**: In this case, we translate $s_{i-1} \cdots s_1$ to $a_{i-1} \cdots a_1$ and s_0^z to $a_0^{\frac{z}{2}}$, so the contribution of this sub-expression is $i - 1 + R_{\frac{r}{2}}(\frac{z}{2}) = i - 1 + R_{\frac{r}{2}}(z \oslash 2)$. We have used $a_{i-1} \cdots a_1 a_0^{\frac{z}{2}} \in C_i$.

(2) $i-1$ **is even and** z **is odd**: In this case, we translate $s_{i-1} \cdots s_1 s_0^{z-1}$ to $a_{i-1} \cdots a_1 a_0^{\frac{z-1}{2}} \in C_i$ and leave an additional generator s_0 which will be treated during the coloring of the next digit, or just before the ordering part. Note that since $\pi \in A_{r,n}$, there must be some s_j, $j \neq 0$, appearing right after the sub-expression $s_{i-1} \cdots s_1 s_0^z$. In calculating the contribution of coloring the current digit (including the missing generator s_0 which will be paired later), consider the sub-expression

$$s_0^z s_j = s_0^{\frac{r}{2}} s_0^{z+\frac{r}{2}} s_j = a_0^{\frac{z+\frac{r}{2}}{2}} s_0^{\frac{r}{2}} s_j = a_0^{\frac{z+\frac{r}{2}}{2}} a_j = a_0^{z \oslash 2} a_j.$$

Hence, i contributes $i - 1 + R_{\frac{r}{2}}(z \oslash 2)$. We have used

$$a_{i-1} \cdots a_1 a_0^{\frac{z-1}{2}} \in C_i,$$

and note that in the next colored digit, we complete the remaining $a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}}$ since $z \oslash 2 = \frac{z-1}{2} + \frac{r+2}{4}$. If i is the last colored digit, then the term $a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}} \in C_{n+1}$ will be chosen from the set C_{n+1}.

(3) $i - 1$ **and** z **are both odd**: In this case, the sub-expression $s_{i-1} \cdots s_2$ will be translated to $a_{i-1} \cdots a_2$ and $s_1 s_0^z$ will be written as $s_1 s_0^{\frac{r}{2}} s_0^{z+\frac{r}{2}} = a_1^{-1} a_0^{z \oslash 2}$. This expression contributes $i - 1 + R_{\frac{r}{2}}(z \oslash 2)$ to the length of π, and we have used

$$a_{i-1} \cdots a_2 a_1^{-1} a_0^{z \oslash 2} \in C_i.$$

(4) $i - 1$ **is odd and** z **is even**: Here, again, the sub-expression $s_{i-1} \cdots s_2$ will be translated to $a_{i-1} \cdots a_2$ and $s_1 s_0^{z-1}$ will be

translated to $a_1^{-1}a_0^{\frac{z-1+\frac{r}{2}}{2}} = a_1^{-1}a_0^{(z-1)\oslash 2}$, so we use:

$$a_{i-1}\cdots a_2 a_1^{-1}a_0^{(z-1)\oslash 2} \in C_i,$$

and leave an additional generator s_0 which will be paired with some s_j during the coloring of the next digit or just before the ordering part. In order to calculate the contribution of coloring this digit to the length of π (including the missing generator s_0 which will be paired later), we borrow the generator s_j appearing just after the coloring expression of the current digit: $s_1 s_0^z s_j = s_1 s_0^{\frac{r}{2}} s_0^{z+\frac{r}{2}} s_j$. Since we wrote: $s_1 s_0^{\frac{r}{2}} = a_1^{-1}$, we are left with $s_0^{z+\frac{r}{2}} s_j = a_0^{z\oslash 2}$. The contribution in this case is again $i-1+R_{\frac{r}{2}}(z\oslash 2)$. Now, since

$$z\oslash 2 \equiv \left((z-1)\oslash 2 + \frac{r+2}{4}\right) \left(\mathrm{mod}\ \frac{r}{2}\right),$$

we take

$$a_{i-1}\cdots a_1^{-1}a_0^{(z-1)\oslash 2} \in C_i$$

and the remaining $a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}}$ will be taken from the next colored digit or from C_{n+1} (as in case (2)).

Now, we apply the same procedure to the next colored digits, but note that there might be a situation in which the expression coloring the digit j is $s_0 s_{j-1} s_{j-2}\cdots$, due to our debt of the generator s_0 from the preceding colored digit, so the cases might be switched after converting $s_0 s_j$ to $a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}} a_{j-1}$.

The following example will illuminate the situation.

Example 4.4. Let $\pi = \left(12^{[2]}45^{[1]}3^{[3]}\right) \in A_{6,5}$. Then the ordered permutation is: $\sigma = \left(5^{[1]}3^{[3]}2^{[2]}14\right)$. We perform the coloring part:

$$(12345) \overset{①\ s_1 s_0}{\longrightarrow} \left(2^{[1]}1354\right) \overset{②\ s_0 s_2 s_1 s_0^3}{\longrightarrow} \left(3^{[3]}2^{[2]}145\right) \overset{③\ s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1}{\longrightarrow} \left(53^{[3]}2^{[2]}14\right) = \sigma.$$

Step ①: The smallest colored digit is 2, which has to be colored by two colors, so we are in case (4). We choose $s_1 s_0 = a_1^{-1}a_0^2$ from C_2^1. The additional generator s_0 will be treated in the next step. Note that in the calculation of the contribution of this step to the length of π we borrow the generator s_2 from the next colored digit:

$$s_1 s_0^2 s_2 = s_1 s_0^{3+2+3} s_2 = \left(s_1 s_0^3\right) s_0^2 \left(s_0^3 s_2\right) = a_1^{-1}a_0 a_2.$$

This expression contributes only 2 to the length of π. The generator a_2 will be counted in the next step.

Step ②: The next colored digit is 3, and we have a debt of a generator s_0 from the previous step. Thus, we choose

$$s_0s_2s_1s_0s_0s_0 = s_0^4\left(s_0^3s_2\right)\left(s_1s_0^3\right) = a_0^2a_2a_1^{-1} \in C_3^0.$$

Even though $i-1=2$ is even and $z=3$ is odd (case (2)), after s_0s_2 in the previous step, we are actually again in case (4). Note that the expressions $a_1^{-1}a_0^2$ from step ① and $a_0^2a_2a_1^{-1}$ from step ② join together to be $a_1^{-1}a_0a_2a_1^{-1}$.

Step ③: The next colored digit is 5. We choose:

$$s_4s_3s_2s_1 = \left(s_4s_0^3\right)\left(s_0^3s_3\right)\left(s_2s_0^3\right)\left(s_0^3s_1\right) = a_4a_3a_2a_1 \in C_5^1,$$

and leave the treatment of the additional generator s_0 to the next step (in this case, to the transition between the coloring part and the ordering part, i.e. $a_0^2 \in C_6$). We will elaborate on this point after describing the ordering part.

4.4.2. *The ordering part.* We turn now to the ordering part. For $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, define the sets O_k as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} O_1 &= \left\{s_is_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_0^{\frac{r}{2}\varepsilon} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad \varepsilon \equiv i(\mathrm{mod}\ 2)\right\} \cup \{1\} = \\ &= \{a_ia_{i-1}\cdots a_1^{1-2\varepsilon} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad \varepsilon \equiv i(\mathrm{mod}\ 2)\} \cup \{1\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$:

$$\begin{aligned} O_k &= \left\{s_is_{i-1}\cdots s_ks_0^{\frac{r}{2}\varepsilon} \mid k \leq i \leq n-1, \quad \varepsilon \equiv (i+1-k)(\mathrm{mod}\ 2)\right\} \cup \{1\} = \\ &= \{a_ia_{i-1}\cdots a_k \mid k \leq i \leq n-1\} \cup \{1\}. \end{aligned}$$

We start by pushing the digit $i_1 = |\sigma|(1)$ of π to its correct place. Let $p_1 = |\pi^{-1}|(i_1)$. The pushing will be done by multiplying π (from the right) by the element $o_1 \in O_1$, where:

$$o_1 = \begin{cases} s_{p_1-1}s_{p_1-2}\cdots s_1 = a_{p_1-1}\cdots a_1 & p_1-1 \text{ is even} \\ s_{p_1-1}s_{p_1-2}\cdots s_1s_0^{\frac{r}{2}} = a_{p_1-1}\cdots a_2a_1^{-1} & p_1-1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Now, we continue to push the other digits of π: for each $1 < k \leq n-2$, assuming that the digit $i_k = \sigma(k)$ is now located at position p_k, we use the element $o_k \in O_k$ defined by:

$$o_k = \begin{cases} s_{p_k-1}s_{p_k-2}\cdots s_k = a_{p_k-1}\cdots a_k & p_k-k \text{ is even} \\ s_{p_k-1}s_{p_k-2}\cdots s_ks_0^{\frac{r}{2}} = a_{p_k-1}\cdots a_k & p_k-k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

in order to push the digit i_k to its correct place in π. Now, we have two possibilities:

- The coloring part was completed without remainders, which means that both the coloring part and the ordering part consist of even number of $G_{r,n}$-generators. In this case, we choose $1 \in C_{n+1}$, and we have that:
$$\pi = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n \cdot 1 \cdot o_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots o_1^{-1},$$
where $\gamma_i \in C_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $o_i \in O_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.
- The coloring part has a remainder of the generator s_0. This means that the coloring part required an odd number of $G_{r,n}$-generators, and therefore the ordering part had an odd number of $G_{r,n}$-generators as well (since the sum of their lengths is even). Thus, in the ordering part, there will be a remainder of $s_0^{\frac{r}{2}}$, so we choose $s_0^{\frac{r}{2}} s_0 = s_0^{\frac{r}{2}+1} = a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}} \in C_{n+1}$, and we have that:
$$\pi = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n \cdot a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}} \cdot o_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots o_1^{-1},$$
where $\gamma_i \in C_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $o_i \in O_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

In both cases, we have now: $\pi = \sigma \cdot o_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots o_1^{-1}$, and we are done.

Example 4.5. We continue the previous example. Again, let $\pi = \left(12^{[2]}45^{[1]}3^{[3]}\right)$. After the completion of the coloring part, we have reached the ordered permutation: $\sigma = \left(5^{[1]}3^{[3]}2^{[2]}14\right)$.

Now, we go the other way around: we start with π and order it to obtain σ:

$$\left(12^{[2]}45^{[1]}3^{[3]}\right) \overset{⑦\ s_3s_2s_1s_0^3}{\longrightarrow} \left(5^{[4]}12^{[2]}43^{[3]}\right) \overset{⑥\ s_4s_3s_2s_0^3}{\longrightarrow} \left(5^{[1]}3^{[3]}12^{[2]}4\right) \overset{⑤\ s_3s_0^3}{\longrightarrow}$$
$$\rightarrow \left(5^{[4]}3^{[3]}2^{[2]}14\right) \overset{④\ s_0^4}{\longrightarrow} \left(5^{[4]}3^{[3]}2^{[2]}14\right) = \sigma.$$

In **step ⑦**, we push the digit 5 to its correct place with respect to the ordered permutation σ. We use $s_3s_2s_1s_0^3 = a_3a_2a_1^{-1} \in O_1$. Note that the digit 5 is bearing extra three colors. In **step ⑥**, we push the digit 3 into its place, using $s_4s_3s_2s_0^3 = a_4a_3a_2 \in O_2$. Note that the color of the digit 5 is correct again. Next, in **step ⑤**, we push 2 to its correct place using $s_3s_0^3 = a_3 \in O_3$.

Now, note that after completing step ⑤, we still did not arrive at σ, since the digit 5 has again a wrong color. On the other hand, we have a debt of a generator s_0 from the coloring part. Both problems would be solved simultaneously by using $s_0s_0^3 = a_0^2 \in C_6$. This is exactly what we have done in **step ④**.

From the above analysis we can conclude that each permutation $\pi \in A_{r,n}$ has a *canonical decomposition* with respect to the set $\mathcal{A}$. This is the context of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *The set* $\mathcal{A} = \{a_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1\} \cup \{a_1^{-1}\}$ *generates* $A_{r,n}$. *Moreover, for each* $\pi \in A_{r,n}$, *there is a* unique presentation *as:*

$$\pi = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n \gamma_{n+1} \cdot o_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots o_1^{-1},$$

where $\gamma_i \in C_i$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ *and* $o_j \in O_j$ *for* $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. *This presentation is called* the canonical decomposition of π.

Proof. Let M be the Cartesian product

$$M = C_1 \times \cdots \times C_n \times C_{n+1} \times O_{n-1} \times \cdots \times O_1.$$

We start by defining a subset L of M which we call *the set of legal vectors*. A vector $\vec{\omega} = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n, \gamma_{n+1}, o_{n-1}, \ldots, o_1) \in M$ is called a *legal vector* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(1) Let i and j be two indices satisfying $\gamma_k = 1$ for all $i < k < j$, $\gamma_i \neq 1$ and $\gamma_j \neq 1$ (i.e. the digits i and j are colored, but the digits between them are not colored). If γ_i ends with s_0^{r-1}, then γ_j does not start with s_0.
(2) Let i and j be two indices satisfying $\gamma_k = 1$ for all $i < k < j$, $\gamma_i \neq 1$ and $\gamma_j \neq 1$. If γ_i ends with s_1, then γ_j starts with s_0.

We have to prove the following two claims:

(a) The algorithm associates a legal vector in L to any $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. This proves the existence of the presentation.
(b) $|L| = \frac{r^n n!}{2} (= |A_{r,n}|)$, which implies the uniqueness.

Claim (a) is implied immediately from the algorithm, so we pass to the proof of Claim (b). For that, we define the notions of *external* and *internal* components of a vector:

$$\vec{\omega} = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n, \gamma_{n+1}, o_{n-1}, \ldots, o_1) \in L.$$

A component $\gamma_i \in C_i$ is called *external*, if it ends either with s_0^{r-1} or with s_1 (i.e. a component which imposes a restriction on the next non-trivial component), and *internal* otherwise. Note that γ_1 is always internal, since by definition, the generator s_1 does not appear in γ_1 and it cannot end with the expression s_0^{r-1} since $r-1$ is odd.

For constructing an element of L, we start by choosing the element $\gamma_1 \in C_1$, out of $\frac{r}{2}$ possibilities. Next, we choose which components will be external. Note that for each external component there are two possibilities, but each external component restricts the possibilities for the next non-trivial component (i.e. $\gamma_i \neq 1$). Next, for each internal component, we choose one out of $r-1$ possibilities.

When the coloring part is over, we have two possibilities: If we have no remainder from the coloring part, then we choose $\gamma_{n+1} = 1$, and we

have to complete the process by a permutation of S_n of even length. On the other hand, if we do have a remainder, then we choose $\gamma_{n+1} = a^{\frac{r+2}{4}}$ and we have to complete the process by a permutation of S_n of odd length. Altogether, this contributes $n!$ possibilities for completing the presentation.

Following the above discussion, we have that the number of legal vectors is:

$$|L| = \frac{r}{2} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} (r-1)^{n-1-i} \cdot n! = \frac{r \cdot n!}{2}((r-1)+1)^{n-1} = \frac{n!}{2} r^n,$$

as needed. □

Remark 4.7. Note that we do not claim that the presentation, described above, is irreducible as it. Take for example the expression for $\pi = 12^{[2]}45^{[1]}3^{[3]}$, computed in Example 4.3, to be:

$$a_1^{-1}\mathbf{a_0^2}\mathbf{a_0^2}a_2a_1^{-1}\mathbf{a_0^2}\mathbf{a_0^2}\mathbf{a_0^2}a_4a_3a_2a_1a_0^2a_3a_2a_3a_4a_1a_2a_3,$$

which can be shortened to $a_1^{-1}a_0a_2a_1^{-1}a_4a_3a_2a_1a_0^2a_3a_2a_3a_4a_1a_2a_3$. On the other hand, after we cancel all the redundant appearances of a_0, we do obtain an irreducible expression, as will be proven in the next section.

For $\pi \in A_{r,n}$, let $L_A(\pi)$ be the number of generators needed to write π as a product of the $A_{r,n}$-generators by the algorithm.

As a consequence of the analysis of the algorithm, we have the following result:

Theorem 4.8. (1) *Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$, and let $\omega = s_0^{z_1}b_1s_0^{z_2}b_2\cdots s_0^{z_n}b_n$ where $b_i \in (\mathcal{S} - \{s_0\})^*$ be its canonical presentation with respect to $\mathcal{S}$. Then the translation of ω to the generators in $\mathcal{A}$ will be*

$$a_0^{z_1 \oslash 2} b_1' a_0^{z_2 \oslash 2} b_2' \cdots a_0^{z_n \oslash 2} b_n',$$

where $b_i' \in (\mathcal{A} - \{a_0\})^*$.

Moreover, if $b_i = s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_k}$, then $b_i' = a_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_{i_1}} \cdots a_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_{i_k}}$, where $\varepsilon_{i_j} \in \{\pm 1\}$ if $i_j = 1$ and $\varepsilon_{i_j} = 1$ otherwise.

(2) *Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. Then:*

$$L_A(\pi) = \sum_{z_i(\pi)\neq 0} (i-1) + \mathrm{inv}(\pi) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(z_i(\pi) \oslash 2\right).$$

Proof. Part (1) is straightforward from the analysis of the algorithm, so we proceed to the proof of part (2).

In [2, Theorem 4.3], the algorithm for presenting an element in $G_{r,n}$ is described (see also [16]). It is proven that for $\pi \in G_{r,n}$, the length of π, with respect to the generators in $\mathcal{S}$, is:

$$\ell_{G_{r,n}}(\pi) = \sum_{z_i(\pi)\neq 0} (i-1) + \mathrm{inv}(\pi) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i(\pi)),$$

so by part (1) we have:

$$L_A(\pi) = \sum_{z_i(\pi)\neq 0} (i-1) + \mathrm{inv}(\pi) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i(\pi) \oslash 2). \tag{5}$$

□

Another consequence of the algorithm is the following criterion for an element for being in the group $A_{r,n}$:

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\pi \in G_{r,n}$. Then: $\pi \in A_{r,n}$ if and only if:*

$$\mathrm{csum}(\pi) + \mathrm{inv}(|\pi|) \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\ 2).$$

Proof. By the definition, $\pi \in A_{r,n}$ if and only if $\ell_{G_{r,n}}(\pi) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$. By the algorithm described above, $\ell_{G_{r,n}}(\pi) = \mathrm{csum}(\pi) + k$, where k is the number of generators s_i, for $i \neq 0$, used in the presentation of π. On the other hand, if we remove the appearances of s_0 from the presentation of π, we get $|\pi|$. Since lengths of different presentations of the same element of S_n have the same parity, we have that $k \equiv \mathrm{inv}(|\pi|)(\mathrm{mod}\ 2)$, and therefore the criterion follows. □

5. The presentation of $A_{r,n}$ and its length function

In [5], Dynkin-like diagrams were presented for the groups $G_{r,n}$. Such diagrams are based on a Coxeter-like presentation. In this section, we compute a Coxeter-like presentation for $A_{r,n}$, as well as a Dynkin-like diagram for the groups $A_{r,n}$.

5.1. The presentation of $A_{r,n}$.

We start with the presentation of $A_{r,n}$.

Theorem 5.1. *The set $\mathcal{A} = \{a_0, a_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\}$ generates $A_{r,n}$, subject to the following relations:*

1. $a_0^{\frac{r}{2}} = 1$,
2. $a_1^4 = 1$,
3. $a_i^2 = 1$ *for* $i > 1$,
4. $a_i a_j = a_j a_i$ *for* $|i-j| > 1$ *and* $i, j \neq 1$,
5. $(a_i a_{i+1})^3 = 1$ *for* $i \geq 1$,
6. $(a_0 a_1)^{2r} = 1$,

(7) $(a_0a_1^{-1})^{2r} = 1$,
(8) $a_0a_1^2 = a_1^2a_0$,
(9) $a_1a_i = a_ia_1^{-1}$ *for* $i > 2$.

Denote by $\mathcal{R}$ *the above set of relations.*

Remark 5.2. Note that relation (5) implies $(a_1^{-1}a_2)^3 = 1$ too, and relation (8) implies $a_1^{-1}a_0a_1^{-1} = a_1a_0a_1$ and $a_1^{-1}a_0a_1 = a_1a_0a_1^{-1}$.

Proof. We have already shown in Theorem 4.6 that $\mathcal{A}$ generates $A_{r,n}$. Here, we prove that the set $\mathcal{R}$ is the complete set of relations for $G_{r,n}$.

We imitate the idea of the proof of Proposition 2.1.1 in [6].

Consider the abstract group $A_{r,n}^+$ generated by the elements

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a_0, a_1, a_1^{-1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\},$$

with $\mathcal{R}$ as the set of relations. Note that the set mapping $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \to A_{r,n}^+$ defined by:

$$\alpha(a_1^{\varepsilon}) = a_1^{-\varepsilon} \text{ for } \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\},$$
$$\alpha(a_i) = a_i \text{ for } i \in \{0, 2, \ldots, n-1\},$$

extends to a group automorphism α on $A_{r,n}^+$. Indeed, considering $A_{r,n}$ as a subgroup of $G_{r,n}$, α is the inner automorphism defined by the conjugation by $s_0^{\frac{r}{2}}$.

Thus, the group $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, \alpha\}$ acts on $A_{r,n}^+$ and we have the semidirect product $A_{r,n}^+ \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, where the product is defined as follows:

$$(x_1\alpha^i) \cdot (x_2\alpha^j) = x_1\alpha^i(x_2) \cdot \alpha^{i+j}.$$

The semidirect product has the following presentation:

(6)
$$A_{r,n}^+ \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle \alpha, a_0, a_1, a_1^{-1}, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1} \mid \mathbf{R}, \alpha a_i \alpha = \alpha(a_i) \text{ for all } i \rangle.$$

We prove now that $G_{r,n} \cong A_{r,n}^+ \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. In order to do this, we define the following two homomorphisms, which are inverses of each other:

$$\rho : A_{r,n}^+ \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \to G_{r,n},$$

defined on the generators by:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\mapsto s_0^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ a_0 &\mapsto s_0^2 \\ a_i &\mapsto s_0^{\frac{r}{2}} s_i \text{ for } i \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varphi : G_{r,n} \to A_{r,n}^+ \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

defined on the generators by:

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 &\mapsto \alpha a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}} \\ s_i &\mapsto \alpha a_i \text{ for } i \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that ρ and φ are isomorphisms, so we have that $G_{r,n} \cong A^+_{r,n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Now, since $\rho(A^+_{r,n}) \subseteq A_{r,n}$ and $A_{r,n}, A^+_{r,n}$ are both subgroups of $G_{r,n}$ of index 2, they must be isomorphic. □

The relations defining $A_{r,n}$ can be graphically described by the following Dynkin-like diagram, where the numbers inside the circles are the orders of the corresponding generators, an edge without a label between two circles means that the order of the multiplication of the two corresponding generators is 3, and an edge labeled $2r$ between two circles means that the order of the multiplication of the two corresponding generators is $2r$ (two circles with no connecting edge mean that the two corresponding generators commute):



FIGURE 1. Dynkin-like diagram of $A_{r,n}$

5.2. **The length function.** Given a group G, generated by a set A, we denote by ℓ_A the length function on G with respect to A. Explicitly, for each $\pi \in G$:

$$\ell_A(\pi) = \min\{u \mid g = a_1 \cdots a_u, \text{ where } a_i \in A\}.$$

In this section, we prove that the algorithm described above, indeed gives us a reduced word with respect to the set of generators $\mathcal{A}$. In other words, we prove that for each $\pi \in A_{r,n}$, $\ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi) = L_A(\pi)$, where $L_A(\pi)$ is the number of generators in the presentation of π, obtained by the algorithm (and was computed in Theorem 4.8(2)).

We start with the following set of definitions:

Definition 5.3.
- Let $\omega = b_0 s_0^{i_1} b_1 s_0^{i_2} b_2 \cdots s_0^{i_k} b_k s_0^{i_{k+1}} b_{k+1}$ be *any* factorization of $\pi \in A_{r,n}$ as a product of the generators of $\mathcal{S}$, such that $b_i \in (\mathcal{S} - \{s_0\})^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq k+1$.
- Let $n(\omega)$ be the number of generators s_i in ω such that $i \neq 0$.
- Let $\beta(\omega) = \sum_{u=1}^{k+1} (i_u \oslash 2)$.
- Let $\mu(\pi)$ be the minimal value of $\beta(\omega) + n(\omega)$, obtained over all possible factorizations, ω, of π to $G_{r,n}$-generators as above.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. Then: $\ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi) = \mu(\pi)$.*

Proof. Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. We start by proving the inequality: $\ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi) \geq \mu(\pi)$. Let ω be a reduced word in generators from $\mathcal{A}$. Apply the map ρ, defined in the proof of Theorem 5.1 above, which sends a_i to $s_0^{\frac{r}{2}} s_i$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, a_1^{-1} to $s_1 s_0^{\frac{r}{2}}$, and a_0 to s_0^2 on each letter separately, and concatenate.

This yields a word η, factorizing π in $G_{r,n}$-generators, satisfying: $\ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi) = \beta(\eta) + n(\eta)$. This implies that the minimal value of μ over *all* the factorizations of π to $G_{r,n}$-generators is at most $\ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi)$, since $\mu(\pi)$ is defined as the minimal value of all such expressions. So, we have: $\ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi) \geq \mu(\pi)$ (see Example 5.5(a) below).

In order to prove the opposite inequality: $\mu(\pi) \geq \ell_A(\pi)$, let ω be a factorization of π to $G_{r,n}$-generators which achieves the minimal value of $\mu(\pi)$. Apply the map φ, defined in the proof of Theorem 5.1, which sends s_0 to $\alpha a_0^{\frac{r+2}{4}}$, and for all $i \geq 1$ sends s_i to αa_i on each letter separately, and concatenate. This gives us a factorization η of π in $\mathcal{A} \cup \{\alpha\}$-generators, having $n(\omega)$ generators a_i with $i \neq 0$ and $\beta(\omega)$ occurrences of a_0. The factorization η contains also an even number of occurrences of the letter α (one occurrence for each s_i for $i \geq 0$; recall that the number of s_i's is even by definition). By using the relations $\alpha a_i \alpha = a_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\alpha a_1^{-1} \alpha = a_1^{-1}$, we can cancel out all occurrences of α and we have an $\mathcal{A}^*$-word (i.e. a word written using generators from $\mathcal{A}$) factorization of π of length $\mu(\pi)$ (see Example 5.5(b) below). This proves that $\mu(\pi) \geq \ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi)$. □

Example 5.5.
(a) We illustrate the proof of the first direction of the above proof: Let $\pi = \left(1^{[5]} 3^{[3]} 2^{[3]} 4^{[0]}\right) \in A_{6,4}$. The $\mathcal{A}^*$-word $w = a_0 a_1^{-1} a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1}$ is a reduced factorization of π. Apply the map ρ on ω to get:

$$\eta = s_0^2 s_1 s_0^3 s_0^3 s_2 s_0^3 s_1 s_0^3 s_2 s_1 s_0^3.$$

One can calculate that:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(\eta)+n(\eta) &= (2\oslash 2)+(6\oslash 2)+(3\oslash 2)+(3\oslash 2)+(3\oslash 2)+5= \\ &= 1+0+0+0+0+5=6=\ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi).\end{aligned}$$

(b) In this part, we illustrate the derivation described in the second direction of the above proof: Let $\pi = \left(1^{[2]}2^{[0]}4^{[0]}3^{[1]}\right)$, and let $\omega = s_0^2 s_2 s_1 s_0 s_1 s_2 s_3$ be a factorization of π (here $\beta(\omega)+n(\omega)$ is indeed minimal). Then:

$$\varphi(\omega) = \alpha a_0^2 \alpha a_0^2 \alpha a_2 \alpha a_1 \alpha a_0^2 \alpha a_1 \alpha a_2 \alpha a_3 = \eta.$$

Now, after canceling out the appearances of α, we get the $\mathcal{A}^*$-word:

$$a_0^2 a_0^2 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_0^2 a_1^{-1} a_2 a_3 = a_0 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_0^2 a_1^{-1} a_2 a_3.$$

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. Then:*

$$L_A(\pi) = \mu(\pi).$$

Proof. Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. The canonical decomposition of π demonstrates the inequality $L_A(\pi) \geq \mu(\pi)$. For the opposite inequality, assume to the contrary that there is some decomposition ω of π satisfying:

$$n(\omega)+\beta(\omega) < L_A(\pi).$$

This contradicts the fact that Equation (5) is the length of π with respect to the usual generators of $G_{r,n}$. □

Hence, we have obtained the following corollary, which is the main result of this section:

Corollary 5.7. *The function L_A is indeed the length function of the group of colored alternating permutations with respect to the set of generators $\mathcal{A}$. Explicitly: for each $\pi \in A_{r,n}$,*

$$\ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi) = L_A(\pi).$$

Consequently, we can easily get the generating function for the length function:

Corollary 5.8. . *The generating function for the length function $\ell_{\mathcal{A}}$ is:*

$$\sum_{\pi\in A_{r,n}} q^{\ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi)} = \frac{1}{2}[n]!_q \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1+q^{j-1}(1+2q+\cdots+2q^{\frac{r}{2}-1})\right).$$

Proof. Each $\pi \in A_{r,n}$ has a canonical decomposition into generators from the set $\mathcal{S}$. By Theorem 4.4 of [2], the generating function for the length with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ over the whole group $G_{r,n}$ is:

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{r,n}} q^{\ell_{\mathcal{S}}(\pi)} = [n]!_q \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 + q^{j-1}(1 + q + \cdots + q^{r-1})\right).$$

By Theorem 4.8(1), the factor $s_{j-1} \cdots s_1 s_0^z$ which colors the digit j by z colors is converted to $a_{j-1} \cdots a_1^{\pm 1} a_0^{z \oslash 2}$. Since the mapping $\mathbb{Z}_r \to \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{r}{2}}$ is a 2:1-epimorphism, the factor $(1 + q^{j-1}(1 + q + \cdots + q^{r-1}))$ is converted to $\left(1 + q^{j-1}(1 + 2q + \cdots + 2q^{\frac{r}{2}-1})\right)$. Finally, after completing the coloring part, only half of the permutations of S_n are permitted (since the total length of the word in $G_{r,n}$-generators should be even), so we have to divide the generating function by 2. □

6. The colored alternating group as a covering group

In [14], a covering map $f : A_{n+1} \to S_n$ was defined and used to lift some identities of S_n to A_{n+1}. In this section, we use a similar technique with a covering map from $A_{r,n}$ to $G_{\frac{r}{2},n}$. Unlike the case of A_{n+1}, this map is an epimorphism, and hence the kernel of this map will be combinatorially described. We also present a section $s : G_{\frac{r}{2},n} \to A_{r,n}$ which gives us a way to decompose the length function, $\ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi)$, into two summands, one of them is constant on the coset of π, while the other, which will be called the *fibral length*, varies over the coset. We present a nice combinatorial interpretation of the last parameter, as well as a generating function for it over each coset. In Section 7, we use this covering map to lift some identities and permutation statistics from $G_{\frac{r}{2},n}$ to $A_{r,n}$.

Define the following projection:

$$p : A_{r,n} \to G_{\frac{r}{2},n},$$

as follows: if $\pi = \left(b_1^{[c_1]} \cdots b_n^{[c_n]}\right)$, then:

$$p(\pi) = \left(b_1^{[c_1 \oslash 2]} \cdots b_n^{[c_n \oslash 2]}\right).$$

Example 6.1. Let $\pi = \left(3^{[0]}2^{[1]}4^{[2]}1^{[3]}\right) \in G_{6,4}$. Then:

$$p(\pi) = \left(3^{[0 \oslash 2]}2^{[1 \oslash 2]}4^{[2 \oslash 2]}1^{[3 \oslash 2]}\right) = \left(3^{[0]}2^{[2]}4^{[1]}1^{[0]}\right).$$

Then, we have:

Lemma 6.2. *The map p is an epimorphism. Moreover, the kernel of p is the normal closure of a_1^2 in $A_{r,n}$. Thus: $G_{\frac{r}{2},n} \cong \frac{A_{r,n}}{\ll a_1^2 \gg}$.*

Proof. The map p is clearly a homomorphism since the operator $\oslash$ commutes with the addition operation in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{r}{2}}$ (see Equation (4)). Now, if $\sigma = \left(b_1^{[c_1]} \cdots b_n^{[c_n]}\right) \in G_{\frac{r}{2},n}$, and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ satisfies $b_j = 1$, then, by Theorem 4.9, we have either

$$\left(b_1^{[2c_1]} \cdots b_j^{[2c_j]} \cdots b_n^{[2c_n]}\right) \in A_{r,n} \text{ or } \left(b_1^{[2c_1]} \cdots b_j^{\left[2c_j+\frac{r}{2}\right]} \cdots b_n^{[2c_n]}\right) \in A_{r,n},$$

where the computations are made modulo r. This implies that p is an epimorphism.

It remains to find the kernel. Since $a_1^2 = \left(1^{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]} 2^{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]} 3^{[0]} \cdots n^{[0]}\right)$, we have $a_1^2 \in \ker(p)$, thus $\ll a_1^2 \gg \leq \ker(p)$. On the other hand, all the elements of $\ker(p)$ are of the form $\left(1^{[c_1]} 2^{[c_2]} \cdots n^{[c_n]}\right)$, where $c_i \in \left\{0, \frac{r}{2}\right\}$ and $|\{i \mid c_i \neq 0\}|$ is even. For each $i < j$, we can use the element $t_{i,j} a_1^2 t_{i,j}^{-1} \in \ll a_1^2 \gg$, where $t_{i,j} = s_{i-1} s_{i-2} \cdots s_1 \cdot s_j s_{j-1} \cdots s_2$ in order to color digits i and j in $\frac{r}{2}$ colors without touching the other digits. This proves that $\ll a_1^2 \gg = \ker(p)$, as needed. □

We emphasize the following two observations, which can be concluded from the proof of the previous theorem, for a future use.

Observation 6.3. (1) $|\ker(p)| = 2^{n-1}$.

(2) Let $\pi, \pi' \in A_{r,n}$ be such that $p(\pi) = p(\pi')$. Then, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $c_i(\pi) \equiv c_i(\pi') \pmod{\frac{r}{2}}$. Moreover, $c_i(\pi)$ and $c_i(\pi')$ differ by $\frac{r}{2}$ for an *even* number of indices.

The following obvious lemma presents the action of p on the generators of $A_{r,n}$:

Lemma 6.4.

$$\begin{aligned} &p(a_i) = s_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ &p(a_1^{-1}) = s_1, \\ &p(a_0) = s_0. \end{aligned}$$

□

We introduce the following section of the covering map p: Define

$$s : G_{\frac{r}{2},n} \to A_{r,n}$$

as follows: if $\pi = \left(p_1^{[c_1]} \cdots p_n^{[c_n]}\right)$ and $p_j = 1$, then:

$$\pi_0 = s(\pi) = \begin{cases} \left(p_1^{[2c_1]} \cdots p_j^{[2c_j]} \cdots p_n^{[2c_n]}\right) & \text{inv}(|\pi|) \equiv 0 (\text{mod } 2) \\ \left(p_1^{[2c_1]} \cdots p_j^{\left[2c_j+\frac{r}{2}\right]} \cdots p_n^{[2c_n]}\right) & \text{inv}(|\pi|) \equiv 1 (\text{mod } 2), \end{cases}$$

where the computations are made modulo r. It is easy to verify that $p \circ s = \mathrm{Id}$.

Example 6.5. Let $\pi = \left(2^{[1]}3^{[0]}4^{[1]}1^{[2]}\right) \in G_{3,4}$. Then, $\mathrm{inv}(|\pi|) = 3$ and $j = 4$. Thus, $\left(2^{[2]}3^{[0]}4^{[2]}1^{[4]}\right) \notin A_{6,3}$, so $s(\pi) = \left(2^{[2]}3^{[0]}4^{[2]}1^{[1]}\right) \in A_{6,3}$.

6.1. **The fibral length.** For each $\pi \in A_{r,n}$, the length function of π with respect to the set of generators $\mathcal{A}$ can be decomposed into two summands. The first summand is the length of $p(\pi)$ as an element in $G_{\frac{r}{2},n}$, which is obviously invariant on the fiber of π. The second summand, which varies along the fiber, will be called the *fibral length*. As will be shown in this section, it has a nice combinatorial interpretation. We start with the definition of the *fibral length*.

Definition 6.6. For each $\pi \in A_{r,n}$, define the *fibral length* of π to be:

$$\ell_F(\pi) = \ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi) - \ell_{\mathcal{A}}(s(p(\pi))).$$

For $\pi \in G_{r,n}$, denote $c(\pi) = \sum_{z_i(\pi)\neq 0} (i-1)$. By the definition of $\pi_0 = s(p(\pi))$, we have:

$$c(\pi) - c(\pi_0) = \sum_{\left\{i | z_i(\pi) = \frac{r}{2}\right\}} (i-1). \tag{7}$$

We will need the following two lemmata in the sequel:

Lemma 6.7.

$$\ell_F(\pi) = c(\pi) - c(\pi_0) + \mathrm{inv}(\pi) - \mathrm{inv}(\pi_0).$$

Proof. Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. Then, by Observation 6.3(2),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i(\pi) \oslash 2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i(\pi_0) \oslash 2).$$

By Theorem 4.8(2), we are done. □

Lemma 6.8. *For each $\pi \in A_{r,n}$, we have: $\ell_F(\pi) \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. By Lemma 6.7, we have:

$$\ell_F(\pi) = c(\pi) - c(\pi_0) + \mathrm{inv}(\pi) - \mathrm{inv}(\pi_0).$$

By Equation (7), $c(\pi) - c(\pi_0) \geq 0$. Now, let $1 \leq k < m \leq n$ be such that $\pi(k) = i^{[\alpha]} < j^{[\beta]} = \pi(m)$, but $\pi_0(k) = i^{[\alpha']} > j^{[\beta']} = \pi_0(m)$. A rather tedious but easy calculation should convince the reader that the only possibility is $|\pi(k)| = i > j = |\pi(m)|$ with $\alpha = \frac{r}{2}$ and $\beta = 0$ (and hence $\alpha' = \beta' = 0$), so that the digit i is colored in π but not in π_0. Now, since this situation can occur at most $i-1$ times,

the contribution of i to $c(\pi) - c(\pi_0)$ which is $i-1$, will cancel the corresponding negative contribution to $\mathrm{inv}(\pi) - \mathrm{inv}(\pi_0)$, and hence the total sum will be positive. □

In order to make the previous proof a bit more accessible, we provide an example.

Example 6.9. Let $\pi = \left(3^{[3]}21\right) \in A_{3,4}$, so that $\pi_0 = (321)$. Then $i = 3$ contributes 2 to $c(\pi) - c(\pi_0)$ and -2 to $\mathrm{inv}(\pi) - \mathrm{inv}(\pi_0)$ since $3^{[3]} < 2$, $3^{[3]} < 1$, but $3 > 1, 3 > 2$. So, the total sum remains positive.

Denote by ℓ_G the length function of the group $G_{\frac{r}{2},n}$. Then, we have:

Lemma 6.10. *Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$ and $\pi_0 = s(p(\pi))$. Then:*

$$\ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi_0) = \ell_G(p(\pi)).$$

Proof. Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. Then:

$$(*) \qquad \ell_G(p(\pi)) = \sum_{z_i(p(\pi))\neq 0} (i-1) + \mathrm{inv}(p(\pi)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i(p(\pi)) =$$

$$= \sum_{z_i(\pi)\notin\left\{0,\frac{r}{2}\right\}} (i-1) + \mathrm{inv}(p(\pi)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i(\pi) \oslash 2).$$

Now, $\sum_{z_i(\pi)\notin\left\{0,\frac{r}{2}\right\}} (i-1) = \sum_{z_i(\pi_0)\neq 0} (i-1)$, since for all $1 < i \leq n$, $z_i(\pi_0) \neq \frac{r}{2}$. Moreover, it is easy to check case-by-case that $\mathrm{inv}(p(\pi)) = \mathrm{inv}(\pi_0)$.

Finally, it is easy to check that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, one has: $z_i(\pi_0) \oslash 2 = z_i(\pi) \oslash 2$ and thus $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i(\pi) \oslash 2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i(\pi_0) \oslash 2)$.

Therefore, we have:

$$\ell_G(p(\pi)) \overset{(*)}{=} \sum_{z_i(\pi)\notin\left\{0,\frac{r}{2}\right\}} (i-1) + \mathrm{inv}(p(\pi)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i(\pi) \oslash 2) =$$

$$= \sum_{z_i(\pi_0)\neq 0} (i-1) + \mathrm{inv}(p(\pi_0)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i(\pi_0) \oslash 2) = \ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi_0),$$

as required. □

As a corollary, we now have by Definition 6.6 and Lemma 6.10:

Corollary 6.11. *Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$ and let $p(\pi)$ be its projection into $G_{\frac{r}{2},n}$. Then:*

$$\ell_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi) = \ell_F(\pi) + \ell_G(p(\pi)).$$

□

6.2. **A combinatorial interpretation of the fibral length.** For presenting the fibral length in a combinatorial way, we introduce the following parameter on $A_{r,n}$.

Definition 6.12. For each $\pi \in A_{r,n}$, define the set of *absolute transparent inversions* by:

$$\mathrm{Tinv}(\pi) = \left\{(i,j) \mid z_i(\pi) = \frac{r}{2},\ i > j,\ \text{and } |\pi|^{-1}(i) < |\pi|^{-1}(j)\right\}.$$

Define also:

$$\mathrm{tinv}(\pi) = |\mathrm{Tinv}(\pi)|.$$

Example 6.13. If $\pi = \left(2^{[2]}4^{[4]}3^{[3]}1^{[5]}\right) \in A_{6,4}$, then $\mathrm{Tinv}(\pi) = \{(3,1)\}$, since $\left(3^{[3]}, 1^{[5]}\right)$ is an absolute transparent inversion. Hence, $\mathrm{tinv}(\pi) = 1$.

We have now:

Theorem 6.14. *Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. Then:*

$$\ell_F(\pi) = 2 \cdot \sum_{\left\{i \mid z_i(\pi) = \frac{r}{2}\right\}} (i-1) - 2\mathrm{tinv}(\pi). \tag{8}$$

Proof. By Equation (7) and Lemma 6.7, it is sufficient to show that:

$$c(\pi) - c(\pi_0) = \mathrm{inv}(\pi) - \mathrm{inv}(\pi_0) + 2\mathrm{tinv}(\pi). \tag{9}$$

Let $1 < i \leq n$ be such that $z_i(\pi) = \frac{r}{2}$. Then, by Equation (7), the contribution of i to the left hand side is $i-1$, so we have to show that i contributes the same to the right hand side, i.e. for each $1 \leq j < i$, the pair (i,j) contributes 1 to the expression $\mathrm{inv}(\pi) - \mathrm{inv}(\pi_0) + 2\mathrm{tinv}(\pi)$. This can be easily done by a subtle, though, direct check. Note that $i = 1$ contributes 0 to both sides.

If $1 \leq i \leq n$ satisfies $z_i(\pi) \neq \frac{r}{2}$, then i contributes 0 to both sides. □

We are interested in the distribution of the fibral length of $\pi \in A_{r,n}$ over the coset containing π. Define:

$$F(\pi) = \sum_{\sigma \in p^{-1}(p(\pi))} q^{\ell_F(\sigma)}.$$

It would be much easier to calculate this distribution if we would have translated Theorem 6.14 to the language of Lehmer codes [12]. Recall that the *Lehmer code* of a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ is defined by:

$$L(\pi) = \left(l_{\pi(1)} \cdots l_{\pi(n)}\right),$$

where for each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$l_i = |\{j \mid j > i, \pi^{-1}(i) > \pi^{-1}(j)\}|.$$

For example, if $\pi = (31452) \in S_5$ (in window notation), then:

$$L(\pi) = (l_3 l_1 l_4 l_5 l_2) = (20110).$$

Note that this definition is slightly different from the usual definition of the Lehmer code.

For $\pi \in A_{r,n}$, let $L(|\pi|) = (l_1 \cdots l_n)$, and define:

$$\varepsilon_i(\pi) = \begin{cases} 1 & z_i(\pi) = \frac{r}{2} \\ 0 & z_i(\pi) \neq \frac{r}{2}. \end{cases}$$

The parameter $\mathrm{tinv}(\pi)$ can be written as:

$$\mathrm{tinv}(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i \varepsilon_i(\pi),$$

and Equation (8) can be restated as:

$$\ell_F(\pi) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i(\pi)((i-1) - l_i). \tag{10}$$

The following theorem presents an expression for $F(\pi)$, using Equation (10).

Theorem 6.15. *Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$ and define for each $2 \leq i \leq n$:*

$$\delta_i(\pi) = \begin{cases} 1 & z_i(\pi) \in \left\{0, \frac{r}{2}\right\} \\ 0 & z_i(\pi) \notin \left\{0, \frac{r}{2}\right\}. \end{cases}$$

Then:

$$F(\pi) = \prod_{i=2}^{n} \left(1 + q^{2\delta_i(i-1-l_i)}\right).$$

Proof. Let $\pi = \left(a_1^{[c_1]} \cdots a_n^{[c_n]}\right) \in A_{r,n}$. By Observation 6.3, the coset of π is $\left\{\left(a_1^{[d_1]} \cdots a_n^{[d_n]}\right)\right\}$, where the vector $(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ is obtained from the vector $(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ by an addition of $\frac{r}{2}$ to an even number of coordinates. Hence, the vectors $(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$, appearing as colors of elements of the coset of π can be seen as forming the $(n-1)-$ dimensional affine subspace $(c_1, \ldots, c_n) + Sp(\{e_1 - e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1} - e_n\})$, where $e_i = (0, \ldots, \frac{r}{2}, \ldots, 0)$ (i.e. $\frac{r}{2}$ in the i-th coordinate and 0 elsewhere). Finally, note that when we run over all the elements of the coset, only the coordinates with $z_i(\pi) \in \left\{0, \frac{r}{2}\right\}$ and $i \neq 1$ contribute to $\ell_F(\pi)$. $\square$

7. Some permutation statistics

In this section, we present some permutation statistics for the group of alternating colored permutations.

7.1. **Passing parameters from $G_{\frac{r}{2},n}$ to $A_{r,n}$.** We exhibit now how to pass parameters defined on the full group of colored permutations of half the number of colors to the group of alternating colored permutations. In order to do that, we have to define the notion of a *fiber-fixed parameter*.

Definition 7.1. Let $f_A : A_{r,n} \to \mathbb{N}$ and $f_K : G_{\frac{r}{2},n} \to \mathbb{N}$ be two permutation statistics. The parameter f_A is called *fiber-fixed* if for each $\pi \in A_{r,n}$,

$$f_K(p(\pi)) = f_A(\pi). \tag{11}$$

By Observation 6.3(1), we have the following connection between the corresponding generating functions:

Lemma 7.2. *Let $f_A : A_{r,n} \to \mathbb{N}$ and $f_K : G_{\frac{r}{2},n} \to \mathbb{N}$ be such that f_A is a fiber-fixed parameter. Then:*

$$\sum_{\pi \in A_{r,n}} q^{f_A(\pi)} = 2^{n-1} \sum_{\pi \in G_{\frac{r}{2},n}} q^{f_G(p(\pi))}.$$

Proof.

$$\sum_{\pi \in A_{r,n}} q^{f_A(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in G_{\frac{r}{2},n}} \sum_{v \in p^{-1}(\pi)} q^{f_A(v)} = \sum_{\pi \in G_{\frac{r}{2},n}} \sum_{v \in p^{-1}(\pi)} q^{f_K(p(v))} =$$

$$= 2^{n-1} \sum_{\pi \in G_{\frac{r}{2},n}} q^{f_K(\pi)}.$$

□

We give two examples offiber-fixed parameters, the first one is the flag-inversion number, and the second is the right-to-left minimum.

7.2. **The flag-inversion number.** The *flag-inversion number* was introduced by Foata and Han [8, 9]. Adin, Brenti and Roichman [1] used it as a rank function for a weak order on the groups $G_{r,n}$. We introduce it in $G_{r,n}$:

Definition 7.3. Let $\pi \in G_{r,n}$. The *flag-inversion number* of π is defined as:

$$\mathrm{finv}(\pi) = r \cdot \mathrm{inv}(|\pi|) + \mathrm{csum}(\pi).$$

In [10], the generating function of finv over $G_{r,n}$ was computed:

Proposition 7.4.

$$\sum_{\pi\in G_{r,n}} q^{\mathrm{finv}(\pi)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [ri]_q.$$

We define here a version of the flag-inversion number for the alternating colored permutations whose generating function over $A_{r,n}$ can be computed using on the covering map p.

Definition 7.5. Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. Define:

$$\mathrm{finv}_A(\pi) = \frac{r}{2}\cdot \mathrm{inv}(|\pi|) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_i(\pi) \oslash 2).$$

It is easy to see that the parameter finv is indeed fiber-fixed, in the sense of Equation (11). Explicitly, for each $\pi \in A_{r,n}$, $\mathrm{finv}_A(\pi) = \mathrm{finv}(p(\pi))$. Consequently, we have:

Theorem 7.6.

$$\sum_{\pi\in A_{r,n}} q^{\mathrm{finv}_A(\pi)} = 2^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{r}{2}\cdot i\right]_q.$$

7.3. **The right-to-left minima.** Another parameter, which can be computed using the covering map, is the *right-to-left minima*.

Definition 7.7. Let $p = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ be a word over an ordered alphabet $(\Sigma, <)$. Then $a_i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is a *right-to-left minimum* if for any $j > i$, one has: $a_j > a_i$. The number of right-to-left minima will be denoted by $\mathrm{RtlMin}(p)$.

Example 7.8. Let $p = (31254) \in S_5$ (with the natural order), then $1, 2, 4$ are right-to-left minima and hence: $\mathrm{RtlMin}(p) = 3$.

Regev and Roichman [15] defined a version of the right-to-left minima for $G_{r,n}$ as follows:

Definition 7.9. Let $\pi = \left(a_1^{[c_1]} \cdots a_n^{[c_n]}\right) \in G_{r,n}$. Define:

$$\mathrm{RtlMin}(\pi) = |\{a_i \mid \forall j > i : a_j > a_i, c_i \neq 0\}|.$$

They showed that the distribution of the parameter RtlMin over the full group of colored permutations is (see Proposition 5.1 in [15] with $L = \{0, \ldots, r-1\}$):

$$\sum_{\pi\in G_{r,n}} q^{\mathrm{RtlMin}(\pi)} = ((r-1)q+1)((r-1)q+r+1)\cdots((r-1)q+(n-1)r+1).$$

We introduce here a version of the right-to-left minima for $A_{r,n}$.

Definition 7.10. Let $\pi \in A_{r,n}$. Define:

$$\mathrm{RtlMin}_A(\pi) = \left|\left\{a_i \,\middle|\, \forall j > i,\ a_j > a_i,\ c_i \neq \left\{0, \frac{r}{2}\right\}\right\}\right|.$$

Again, it is easy to see that RtlMin_A is fiber-fixed. Explicitly, for each $\pi \in A_{r,n}$, we have $\mathrm{RtlMin}_A(\pi) = \mathrm{RtlMin}(p(\pi))$. Hence, as an immediate corollary of Proposition 5.1 of [15], we get:

Theorem 7.11.

$$\sum_{\pi \in A_{r,n}} q^{\mathrm{RtlMin}_A(\pi)} = 2^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Big(\frac{r}{2}(q+i-1) + 1 - q\Big).$$

References

[1] R.M. Adin, F. Brenti and Y. Roichman, *Flag weak order on wreath products*, Seḿi. Lothar. Combin. **67** (2012), Art. B67e, 20 pp. (Electronic, available at `http://igd.univ-lyon1.fr/~slc`).

[2] E. Bagno, *Euler-Mahonian parameters on colores permutation groups*, Seḿi. Lothar. Combin. **51** (2004), Art. B51f, 16 pp. (Electronic, available at `http://igd.univ-lyon1.fr/~slc`).

[3] E. Bagno and D. Garber, *On the excedance number of colored permutation groups*, Seḿi. Lothar. Combin. **53** (2006), Art. B53f, 17 pp. (Electronic, available at `http://igd.univ-lyon1.fr/~slc`).

[4] N. Bourbaki, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*, English translation by Andrew Pressley, Springer, 2002.

[5] M. Broué, G. Malle and R. Rouquier, *Complex reflection groups, braid groups, Hecke algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **500** (1998), 127–190 .

[6] F. Brenti, V. Reiner and Y. Roichman, *Alternating subgroups of Coxeter groups*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **115**(5) (2008), 845–877 .

[7] J. Désarménien and D. Foata, *Statistiques d'ordre sur les permutations colorées*, Discrete Math. **87**(2) (1991), 133–148.

[8] D. Foata and G.-N. Han, *Signed words and permutations. I. A fundamental transformation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), 31–40.

[9] D. Foata and G.-N. Han, *Statistical distributions on words and q-calculus on permutations*, Strasbourg, 2007.

[10] M. Fire, *Statistics on wreath products*, arXiv:math/0409421.

[11] J.E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge University press, 1992.

[12] D.H. Lehmer, *Teaching combinatorial tricks to a computer*, Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math. Combinatorial Analysis, Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1960), 179–193.

[13] A. Niedermaier and J. Remmel, *Analogues of up-down permutations for colored permutations*, J. Integer Seq. **13**(5) (2010), Article 10.5.6, 32 pp.

[14] A. Regev and Y. Roichman, *Permutation statistics on the alternating group*, Adv. Appl. Math. **33**(4) (2004), 676–709.

[15] A. Regev and Y. Roichman, *Statistics on wreath products and generalized binomial-Stirling identities*, Israel J. Math. **151** (2006), 189–221.

[16] E. Steingrimsson, *Permutation statistics of indexed permutations*, European J. Combin. **15** (1994), 187–205.

Eli Bagno, The Jerusalem College of Technology, Jerusalem, Israel
E-mail address: bagnoe@jct.ac.il

David Garber, Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Holon Institute of Technology, 52 Golomb St., PO Box 305, 58102 Holon, Israel
E-mail address: garber@hit.ac.il

Toufik Mansour, Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, 31905 Haifa, Israel.
E-mail address: toufik@math.haifa.ac.il